

Some thoughts on best practices for SMTP blocking of e-mail spam

Blocking [e-mail spam](#) at the time of [SMTP \(Simple Mail Transfer Protocol\)](#) transfer has become a best practice. There is no point wasting precious bandwidth & disk space and spending time browsing a huge spambox when most of the incoming flow is clearly spam. At [LinuxForce](#) our e-mail hygiene service, [LinuxForceMailSM](#), makes extensive use of SMTP blocking techniques (using free and open source software such as [Exim](#), [Clam AV](#), [SpamAssassin](#) and [Policyd-weight](#)). But we are extremely careful to only block sites and e-mails that are so “spammy” that we are justified in blocking it. That doesn’t prevent false positives, but it keeps them to a minimum.

Recently we investigated an incident where one of our users had their e-mail blocked by another company’s anti-spam system. In investigating the problem, we learned that some vendors support an option to block e-mail whose *Received* [header](#) is on a [blacklist](#) (in our case it was [Barracuda](#), but other vendors are also guilty). Let me be blunt: this is *boneheaded*, but the reason is subtle so I can understand how the mistake might be made.

First, blocking senders appearing on a blacklist at SMTP time is good practice. But to understand why blocking *Received* headers at SMTP time is bad, it is important to understand how e-mail transport works. The sending system opens a [TCP/IP](#) connection from a particular [IP address](#). That IP address should be checked against blacklists. And other tests on the envelope can help identify spam. But the message headers including the *Received* header are not so definite. We shall see that even a blacklisted IP in these headers may be legitimate. So blocking such e-mail incurs unnecessary risks.

The problem occurs when a user of an [ISP \(Internet Service Provider\)](#) sends an e-mail from home, they are typically using a transient, “dynamic” IP address. Indeed it is possible that their IP address has just changed. Since the new address may have been previously used by someone infected with a virus sending out spam, this “new” IP address may be on the blacklists. So, due to no fault of your own, you have a blacklisted IP address (I will suppress my urge to rant for [IPv6](#) when everyone can finally have their own IP address and be responsible for its security).

Now, when you send an e-mail through your ISP’s [mail server](#), it records your (blacklisted) IP as the first *Received* header. So your (presumably secure) system sending a legitimate message through your ISP’s legitimate, authenticating mail server is blacklisted by your recipients’ overambitious anti-spam system. Ouch. That is why blocking such an e-mail is just wrong. This kind of blocking creates annoying unnecessary complications for the users and admins at both sides. Using [e-mail filtering](#) to put such e-mails into a spam folder would be a reasonable way to handle the situation. Filtering is able to handle [false positives](#) whereas blocking generates unrecoverable errors.

Do not block e-mail based on the *Received* header!

Posted by CJ Fearnley in Security, Systems Management, Tech Notes, Ubuntu, 0 comments